Pentecost XX: Matthew 21:33-46: The Parable of the Wicked Tenants

- 1. The suggested text does not include vss. 44-46. We have included these vss. for a better understanding of the text.
- 2. The scene is Jerusalem on the Tuesday before Jesus' crucifixion and death. The text is paralleled at Lk. 20:9-19 and Mk. 12:1-12. Luke is abbreviated, in comparison with Matthew and Mark. But all three say essentially the same thing and do not contradict each other. Jesus addresses the people but His words are aimed especially at the members of the Sanhedrin who hear what He says.
- 3. The 25th edition of Nestle makes vss. 23-46 one major paragraph which really continues to 22:14. But the 26th edition has five majors for vss. 23-46. Evidently vs. 33 follows immediately after vs. 32. We mention this lest it be thought that, according to the 26th ed., the occasion is different.
- 4. On the relationship between vss. 28-32 and 33-46 Ylvisaker says: "There is an intimate logical interrelation between this and the preceding parable. Jesus again assails specifically the spiritual leadership of the people. But the parables are also markedly dissimilar. The parable of the wicked husbandmen has a broader import, is more pointed and incisive, pictures the past, present, and future of Israel in more striking colors, and foreshadows more unmistakably the judgment that portends. This parable shows how the people, deluded and deceived by their leaders, are gradually perverted, how their obstinacy of spirit becomes more a matter of the will, their trust in themselves more blind, and their persistent abuse of God's grace and His gifts more and more mature for the judgment. In the preceding parable, emphasis is placed upon the point that the self-satisfied leaders are in more deplorable straits than even publicans and harlots in their relation to the Kingdom. Here we are informed that the 'leaders' as representatives of the entire nation, and therefore the people itself shall be excluded in such a way that the Kingdom shall be taken from them, and that others who are more worthy shall obtain the inheritance. In the parable of the two sons, Jesus describes the attitude to the Forerunner, in this parable, to Himself as the Son who had been sent of the Father. Here their wickedness becomes open rebellion." And Lenski; "The first (parable) presents likely imagery, the second imagery that never did and never will happen. A man may have two sons that act like the two presented in the parable; but no owner of a vineyard who had had a servant killed would then send other servants also to be killed and on top of that his own son, only to have him killed also. . . . The reason for this astounding imagery is that in his longsuffering God does act, in fact, did act in the way here depicted. There is no imagery within the experience of men that can picture the amazing grace and patience of God. . . . With this unheard of imagery Jesus pictures the unheard of wickedness of these Jewish leaders who murdered not only the prophets sent for salvation but were now about to murder God's own Son."
- 5. The imagery of Mt. 21:33-41 is very similar to that of Is. 5:1-7. What they have in common: The vineyard, a tower, a wine press. God had done absolutely everything for Israel. God is patient and long-suffering. Wherein they differ: Is. 5:1-7 speaks of all of Israel whereas Mt. 21:33-41 stresses Israel's leadership. The former stresses Israel's fruitlessness whereas the latter stresses the rebellious attitude of Israel's leaders.
- 6. <u>Vs. 33</u>: ἀκούσατε is likely addressed not only to the people but also the Sanhedrists who were still present. Almost unanimously our versions translate οἰκοδεσπότης as "landowner". The vineyard is the OT theocracy. The hedge is the Law and the covenant. It surrounded Israel and separated the Jew from the Gentile. Fahling: "The wine-press is the Temple with its rituals and sacrifices foreshadowing the supreme sacrifice of the promised Messiah for the sins of all mankind." In other words, the place

where the fruits were concentrated. Ylvisaker and Fahling agree on the tower: "A tower of civic order or of prophecy was constructed from which the prophetic watchmen could study the approach of Messianic times. Micah 7:4; Is. 52:8; 62:6." Bengel interprets the winepress as Jerusalem and the tower as the Temple. Lenski says: "The tower was built for watchmen and at the same time as a storehouse." These are not serious differences of interpretation. The point is that the vineyard lacked absolutely nothing. $\xi\xi\xi\delta\epsilon\tau$: Our versions translate either as "leased" or "rented". The point is that God alone owned it. He loaned it to $\gamma\epsilon\omega\rho\gamma$ 0iC, "farmers". The last $\kappa\alpha i$ is "and then". $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\eta\eta\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ 0, in application does not mean that God removed Himself or that He did not care, but rather that He entrusted everything to Israel as responsible recipients of the covenant. He trusted them.

- 7. <u>Vs. 34</u>: τῶν καρπῶν is adjectival: "harvest time". ἀπέστειλεν "he sent with a specific commission". δούλους means God's special emissaries, especially the Prophets. Note that the text speaks of <u>His</u> fruits, the owner's fruits. The parable does not speak of share-croppers, as some translations state or intimate. All the fruits are God's. The fruits, in application, denote contrition, faith and fruits thereof. In a word, spiritual obedience. He expected that.
- 8. <u>Vs. 35</u>: This vs. denotes the opposite of the Lord's will. Therefore, καὶ is "but". Note λαβεῖν in 34 and λαβοντες in 35. They were sent to receive the fruits. But the workers violently take those sent. On "beat, killed, stoned" Bengel says: "An ascending climax, in which the third degree is an atrocious species of the second." True. Only blasphemers were to be stoned. They treat them as blasphemers!
- 9. <u>Vs. 36</u>: πάλιν "again". Under ordinary circumstances no landowner would send more after what happened in vs. 35. The word πάλιν clearly portrays God's mercy and longsuffering. On πλείονας Bengel remarks: "Superior, certainly in number, and without doubt also in virtue, dignity, etc. The increase of calling is no sign of a more faithful people." The period of the δοῦλοι lasted from Moses, the first prophet, until the Baptist, the last prophet, 1500 B.C. to the time of Christ, fifteen centuries!! The heaviest concentration of prophets came from about 900 B.C. til about 600 B.C., thirteen in all, from Elijah to Obadiah. The more wicked Israel became, the more prophets were sent. During the exile there were two, Daniel and Ezekiel, 600-570 B.C. After the exile there were three, from 520-400 B.C. And finally there was John the Baptist. The words "beat, killed, stoned" are figurative for a violent, hateful, rebellious attitude, though some were actually killed. The word ὡσαῦτως says much. It was a constant attitude of the religous leaders.
- 10. <u>Vs 37</u>: No ordinary landowner would have allowed this. The patience of God is pictured in unusual imagery, especially in this vs. ὅστερον, "last of all". Note ἀπέστειλεν again. προς αὐτοὺς, repeated from vs. 34, denotes a close, personal relationship. The meaning of τον υίον αὐτοῦ is obvious to us, as it must have been to Jesus' hearers. Έντραπήσονται, literally "turn to" is translated by our versions with "respect". He was the greatest of those sent by God. Lenski aptly remarks: "The prophets were God's slave-servants as a result of being sent; Jesus is sent as a result of being the Son. In the one case the mission makes the man, in the other the Man makes the mission." By the way, on ὕστεροπν cf. Hebr. 1:1.2. Jesus, the incarnate Christ, the Creator of all, the Heir of all, spoke the final Word. He came because of God's boundless grace, love and mercy.
- 11. <u>Vs. 38</u>: δὲ "but". ἰδόντες, they knew precisely who He was, though they denied Him. They sinned against better knowledge. Note that υἰὸν is articular, no one else like Him. ἐν ἐαυτοῖς denotes manner. It was unanimous. Christ is truly the Heir of all things. Now follow two hortatory subjunctives. σχώμεν, aorist subjunctive "get". Through the Savior they already were heirs. But they rejected that. The point is that they wanted to hold Israel on their own terms. Ylvisaker: "They plot

against the son in the fear that he will wrest from them the authority they have usurped." Bengel: "They might have known Him to be the Heir, and yet they opposed His right." Lenski: "They killed Jesus because they feared to lose their own positions." Cf. Jn. 11:48. The Sanhedrists were horrified at the thought of people believing in Jesus.

- 12. <u>Vs. 39</u>: Note λαβόντες, same as in vs. 35, violence. There is nothing quite so irrational and diabolical as the violence of those who reject God, His Word and His Son. Cf. the treatment of the Apostles, especially Paul, in Acts. ἐξέβαλον ἔξω τοῦ ἀμπελῶνος. In application "the vineyard" means the OT theocracy, God's covenant with Israel. The religious leaders actually threw Jesus out of the theocracy, that is, on their own terms. They violently disowned Him as unworthy to belong to Israel. καὶ ἀπέκτειναν explains itself. It reminds us of Peter's words (Acts 3:15): "You killed the Prince of Life."
- 13. <u>Vs. 40</u>: οὖν, "in view of this violence". The lord of the vineyard is the οἰκοδεσπότης of vs. 33. It is plainly implied that he does not come seeking fruits. Jesus asks His hearers a rhetorical question, a question which answers itself.
- Vs. 41: Who are the "they" in λέγουσιν? In the parallel account (Lk. 20:9) we are told that this 14. parable was addressed προς τον λαον. Did the Sanhedrists hear it? At the conclusion of this series of parables all three Synoptics tell us that the religious leaders left to plot against Him and continued their attempt to catch Him in His words (Mt. 22:15; Mk. 12:13; Lk. 20:20). Furthermore, Mt. 21:23 leaves us with the definite impression that the Sanhedrists did hear this. Finally, this parable (Mt. 21:33-41) is directed at the leaders of the Jews. This implies that the Sanhedrists heard it. Mark and Luke inform us that Jesus Himself answered His own question. Matthew says the people (they) answered the question. The Gospels supplement each other. Both are true and are not contradictory. They answer: "The evil ones in an evil way he will destroy." The object Κακοθς is cognate to the adverb κακως. In other words, judgment will be commensurate with the evil attitude and actions. Note that ἐκδώσεται is from the same stem of the verb used in vs. 33, which denotes God's gracious giving. He will graciously give the vineyard, the kingdom, to other landworkers, the Gentiles. The remainder of this sentence is easy to interpret but we make one final remark: ἐν τοῖς καιροῖς αὐτῶν The antecedent of αὐτῶν is "the fruits". The translations are interesting: AV, RSV, NKJV: "in their seasons"; TEV: "at the right time"; NIV: "at harvest time"; JB, NEB: "when the season arrives"; NASB: "at the proper seasons"; AAT: "when they're ripe". In this vs. Jesus is speaking about two things: a) The impending destruction of Jerusalem (in the first part) and, b) Pentecost Day, when the Gospel was preached to all, whether Jew or Gentile. He foretells, in the second part of the vs., that those to whom the vineyard, the Kingdom, is given, will render the fruits to Him when the opportune time for those fruits comes. In other words, the phrase is temporal. In each generation since Pentecost this has happened. It is remarkable that this phrase does not occur from vs. 33 to 39. That does not mean, of course, that there were no believers among the Old Covenant people. Hebr. 11 clearly says that there were.
- 15. <u>Vs. 42</u>: οὐδέποτε introduces a question requiring the answer "yes". They had read it at Ps. 118:22, a Messianic prophecy. Fahling says: "From Jewish lips came the admission that, if God would take His Word and grace from them and give them to the Gentiles, this punishment would be well deserved. As a matter of fact, this very thing, as Jesus points out, had been prophesied in Scripture (Ps. 118:22). This quotation contains the germ of another parable in which the rejected heir becomes the rejected stone of the builders, only, however, in turn eventually to become the accepted Cornerstone of God." The building, made of stone, is the NT Church. Christ is the stone. The builders are the Jewish authorities. ἀπεδοκίμασαν means they rejected the stone after close inspection. They

threw it out as unfit for the building. But, the rejected stone proved to be the most important stone, the corner or key-stone on which the whole building depends. This was from the Lord. The Lord allowed the rejection but this is wonderful to our eyes. God turned evil into good. The thought is akin to that of Joseph at Gen. 50:20. By being cursed, Jesus accomplished universal blessing. Gal. 3:13. This is truly wonderful in our eyes.

- 16. <u>Vs. 43</u>: διὰ τοῦτο, in view of what is said both in parable and in prophecy. λέγω ὑμῖν denotes the divine authority of Jesus. "The Kingdom of God" is the preaching of the gracious Gospel of Jesus Christ. The Jewish authorities rejected it by rejecting the Christ and throwing Him out as unworthy of membership in the Kingdom. And so "it will be taken from you", you rejecters. καὶ means "on the other hand". δοθήσεται. The Kingdom is ever a gift, nothing but that. ἔθνει should not be limited to the Gentiles. It is the NT Church made up of believers, both among Jews and Gentiles. The antecedent of αὐτῆς is "the Kingdom". What are the fruits? Those of contrition, repentance and the fruits which flow therefrom.
- 17. Vs. 44: This vs. is bracketted in the Greek text but it is well-attested in the manuscripts. It is surely textual. It is omitted only by RSV, JB and NEB. This vs. is starkest Law. It applies to all rejecters, be they Jews or Gentiles. Fahling: "Against those who oppose Christ a general and an individual judgment is pronounced. As applied to the nation (first part) and as applied to individuals (second part)." He quotes Lk. 2:34. Ylvisaker treats it differently: "In the first metaphor, the stone is represented as lying in the road. Israel and many others fall upon it, inasmuch as they take offense at the lowly form of Christ (Is. 8:14.15) and are, as a consequence, broken, crushed, and scattered. In the second figure of speech, the stone is pictured as falling precipitiously from a building or a mountain (Dan. 2:45). This is a prefiguration of Christ's coming to judgment. Then He shall crush His enemies." We do not think that these interpretations violate each other.
- 18. Vs. 45: That the Sanhedrists heard the parables is clear. λέγει "He was speaking" about them.
- 19. <u>Vs. 46</u>: The translations treat the syntax of this vs. variously. We prefer that of JB: "But though they would have liked to arrest him they were afraid of the crowds, who looked on him as a prophet." The unbeliever is filled with fear of mere men. He rejects a gracious God and lives in fear of men.