## Concordia Theological Monthly



SEPTEMBER



## THE PROBLEMS IN JOHN 8:25

The edition of Eberhard and Erwin Nestle (Stuttgart) contains the following reading for St. John 8:25,26: ἔλεγον οὖν αὖτῷ· σὺ τίς εἶ; εἶπεν αὐτοῖς ὁ Ἰησοῦς· τὴν ἀρχὴν ὅ τι καὶ λαλῶ ὑμῖν; πολλὰ ἔχω περὶ ὑμῶν λαλεῖν καὶ κρίνειν· ἀλλὶ ὁ πέμψας με ἀληθής ἐστιν, κἀγὰ ἃ ἤκουσα παρὶ αὐτοῦ, ταῦτα λαλῶ εἰς τὸν κόσμον. The Oxford text, edited by Alexander Souter, presents one basic variation; the conclusion of verse 25 is a statement instead of a question: Τὴν ἀρχὴν ὅ τι καὶ λαλῶ ὑμῖν.

The challenge which the conclusion of verse 25 presents to translators is evident from the various versions:

"Even the same that I said unto you from the beginning." (King James)

"Why should I talk to you at all?" (Moffatt)

"Why do I even talk to you at all?" (Goodspeed)

"Even what I have told you from the beginning." A footnote gives the variation: "Why do I talk to you at all?" (Revised Standard Version)

"Principium, quia et loquor vobis." (Vulgate, ed. of Wordsworth and White; Oxford)

"Erstlich der, der ich mit euch rede." (Luther)

"Ce que je vous dis dès le commencement." (Segond; Oxford)

"Ο, τι σᾶς λέγω ἀπ' ἀρχῆς. (Modern Greek; British Bible Society)

Some of the difficulties involved in the passage are noted by A. T. Robertson in A Grammar of the Greek New Testament in the Light of Historical Research: "In John 8:25 both Westcott-Hort and Nestle print as a question, Thu dound of the Light of Historical Research: "In John 8:25 both Westcott-Hort and Nestle print as a question, Thu dound of the Latin versions have quod or quia. It is a very difficult passage at best. Thu dound of the may be taken to mean 'Why do I speak to you at all?' (Thu dound of the sense, of the may be taken to mean 'Why do I speak to you at all?' If necessary to the sense, of the may be taken here as interrogative. Moulton admits the New Testament use of of the sense of of the sense of the latin where suggested in Winer-Schmiedel, but the occasional interrogative use of of the sufficient explanation. But the passage in John 8:25 is more than doubtful. Chrysostom takes of there as relative, Cyril as causal" (p. 730).

690 BRIEF STUDIES

The variation in the Greek text, the various translations, and the remarks of Robertson point to three problems in the passage. First, should the sentence end with a period (Souter) or question mark (Nestle and Westcott-Hort)? Secondly, is  $\delta$   $\tau\iota$  to be taken as relative or interrogative? The reading of  $\delta\tau\iota$  as a conjunction is possible, but not accepted in the better editions. Thirdly, the translation of the phrase  $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$  Åqx $\dot{\eta}\nu$ . There is also a fourth problem:  $\lambda\alpha\lambda\tilde{\omega}$  may be indicative or subjunctive.

The first and second problems are interdependent. If  $\delta$   $\tau i$  is relative, the sentence should end with a period; if  $\delta$   $\tau i$  is interrogative, a question mark should be the final punctuation. Although  $\delta$   $\tau i$ , the neuter of  $\delta \sigma \tau i \varsigma$ , is more frequently used as a relative indefinite pronoun, in the context of John 8:25 there is no antecedent for it. The translators, furthermore, who imply or supply an unexpressed antecedent are inclined to translate  $\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega}$  as having the significance of a past tense, as in the King James and Revised Standard versions. It appears better, then, to regard  $\delta$   $\tau i$  as interrogative. There is no need to resort to the recitative or causal conjunction  $\delta \tau i$ . The indirect interrogative use of  $\delta \sigma \tau i \varsigma$  is common throughout Greek literature beginning with Homer (*Iliad* 3.192; 14.509; *Odyssey* 8.28; 10.110). One example in the New Testament is Acts 9:6. Also the direct interrogative function is found in passages containing dialogue:

```
(Charon) . . . οὖτος τί ποιεῖς;
(Dionysus) ὅ τι ποιῶ; (Aristophanes, Frogs 198)
(Lamachus) ἀλλὰ τίς γὰρ εἶ;
(Dicaeopolis) ὅστις; (Aristophanes, Acharnians 594, 595)
(Poverty) τί δ' ἄν ὑμεῖς ἀγαθὸν ἐξεύροιθ';
(Chremylus) ὅ τι; (Aristophanes, Wealth 462)
(Euthyphro) . . . ἀλλὰ δὴ τίνα γραφήν σε γέγραπται;
(Socrates) Ἡντινα; (Plato, Euthyphro 2c).
```

In John 8:25, then, we may interpret  $\delta$   $\tau\iota$  as interrogative and conclude the sentence with a question mark.

The third problem, the interpretation of thy  $d\varrho\chi\eta\nu$ , has confused the translators. Some render it "from the beginning," as if the text were  $\xi\xi$   $d\varrho\chi\eta\zeta$ ; others regard it as equivalent to  $\delta\lambda\omega\zeta$  and translate "at all" — not to mention the Latin edition by Wordsworth and White. The confusion seems odd, since the phrase by itself should not be difficult; perhaps the other problems in the passage have caused the translators to stretch the point on the degree. The term is interpreted best as an adverbial accusative meaning "to begin with," "at first,"

BRIEF STUDIES 691

"in the first place," or "first of all." Both ἀρχήν and τὴν ἀρχήν have this signification throughout Greek literature. Examples of ἀρχήν are:

ἀρχὴν γὰρ ἐγὰ μηχανήσομαι οὕτω ὥστε μηδὲ μαθεῖν μιν ὀφθεῖσαν ὑπὸ σεῦ. (Herodotus 1.9)

Tαῦτα μέν νυν ἔστω ὡς ἔστι τε καὶ ὡς ἀρχὴν ἐγένετο. (Herodotus 2.28)

ἀρχὴν δὲ θηρᾶν οὐ πρέπει τἀμήχανα· (Sophocles, Antigone 92)

ἀρχὴν κλύειν ἂν οὐδ' ἄπαξ ἐβουλόμην. (Sophocles, *Philoctetes* 1239)

ἀρχὴν δ' ἄν, εἰ μὴ τλημονεστάτη γυνὴ πασῶν έβλαστε, τάσδε δυσμενεῖς χοὰς οὐκ ἄν ποθ' ὃν γ' ἔκτεινε τῷδ', ἐπέστρεφε. (Sophocles, *Electra* 439—441)

Examples of την ἀρχήν are as follows:

Τὴν ἀρχὴν γὰρ ἐξῆν αὐτῷ μὴ γράφειν . . . (Demosthenes, Against Aristocrates 93)

. . . δς ἔφη ἢ τὴν ἀρχὴν οὐ δεῖν ἐμὲ δεῦρο εἰσελθεῖν . . . (Plato, Apology 29c)

Πῶς οὖν οἱ ἀγαθοὶ τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς ἡμῖν φίλοι ἔσονται τὴν ἀρχήν, οἱ μήτε ἀπόντες ποθεινοὶ ἀλλήλοις . . . (Plato, Lysis 215b)

The reading of Herodotus 4.25 is given either with the article or without it: τοῦτο δὲ οὖκ ἐνδέκομαι (τὴν) ἀρχήν. Plato's Gorgias (478c) contains a query of Socrates using ἀρχήν, followed by τὴν ἀρχήν in Socrates' next statement:

(Socrates)  ${}^{3}$ Αρ' οὖν οὕτως ἀν περὶ σῶμα εὐδαιμονέστατος ἀνθρωπος εἴη, ἰατρευόμενος, ἢ μηδὲ κάμνων ἀρχήν;

(Polus) Δῆλον ὅτι μηδὲ κάμνων.

(Socrates) Οὐ γὰο τοῦτ' ἦν εὐδαιμονία, ὡς ἔοικε, κακοῦ ἀπαλλαγή, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀρχὴν μηδὲ κτῆσις.

The fourth question, the interpretation of  $\lambda\alpha\lambda\tilde{\omega}$ , apparently has not impressed the translators as presenting any problem. The verb has been taken as unquestionably present indicative. Yet some scholars have translated it as if it were a past tense, perhaps because they have interpreted  $\delta$   $\tau_l$  as relative and have rendered  $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\varrho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$  as "from the beginning." The verb,  $\lambda\alpha\lambda\tilde{\omega}$ , however, may be the present subjunctive employed in a deliberative question. Such an interpretation harmonizes with the interrogative use of  $\delta$   $\tau_l$  and the question mark as a final punctuation. The deliberative subjunctive, furthermore, is not foreign to the New Testament, which presents among others the following instances:

τί ποιῶμεν ἴνα ἐργαζώμεθα τὰ ἔργα τοῦ θεοῦ; (John 6:28) τὸ ποτήριον δ δέδωκέν μοι ὁ πατήρ, οὐ μὴ πίω αὐτό; (John 18:11)

692 BRIEF STUDIES

ἔξεστιν δοῦναι κῆνσον Καίσαρι ἢ οὖ; δῶμεν ἢ μὴ δῶμεν; (Mark 12:14)

Καὶ ἐπηρώτων αὐτὸν οἱ ὄχλοι λέγοντες· τί οὖν ποιήσωμεν; (Luke 3:10)

μὴ οὖν μεριμνήσητε λέγοντες· τί φάγωμεν; ἤ· τί πίωμεν; ἤ· τί περιβαλώμεθα; (Matthew 6:31)

Καὶ τί ἔτι λέγω; (Hebrews 11:32)

According to our solutions of the problems present in the conclusion of John 8:25, we may translate the passage: "What (or, Just what) shall I say to you in the first place (or, to begin with; or, first of all)?" The adverbial use of  $\kappa\alpha$  (meaning "just") for emphasis is common in Greek literature; in interrogatives it frequently implies emphasis in intonation. (Cf. Euripides, Andromache 906; Plato, Theaetetus 166d; Gorgias 456a; Aeschylus, Agamemnon 278; Euripides, Alcestis 834; Plato, Euthyphro 6b; Demosthenes, Against Philipp I 46.) The verb  $\lambda\alpha\lambda\tilde{\omega}$  as equivalent to  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  is a characteristic of later writers and occurs in Acts 3:22; 9:6; Matthew 9:33; John 8:30.

The context, which is a primary test, substantiates the solutions and translation presented above. John 8:25,26 would read: "Then they said to Him, 'Who are You?' Jesus said to them, 'What shall I say to you in the first place? I have many things to say and to judge concerning you. He who has sent Me is true; and what I have heard from Him I speak to the world.'"

Westminster College, Fulton, Mo.

ROBERT G. HOERBER