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John Rogers, Melanchthon's English Friend

CARL S. MEYER

The author is director of the School for Graduate Studies, Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Missouri. The following essay reflects some of his recent research in England. Dr. Meyer is also the author of Elizabeth I and the Religious Settlement of 1559. He also edited the volume Moving Frontiers and has contributed essays to numerous scholarly journals.

WHEN IN 1948 John Rogers translated a brief from Wittenberg regarding the Augsburg Interim, he did so partly, he said, "for the defence of his [Melanchthon's] moste named and knowne fames sake (which he hath yet hitherto kepte undefiled, so that even the greatest enemys of the Gospell neither coulde nor have said otherwise of hym)." It was an act of friendship and respect for a highly cherished mentor and guide. The brief was only partially Melanchthon's; it was issued by the theologians of the University of Wittenberg; however, Philip Melanchthon (1497-1560) was almost certainly its author.

John Rogers is remembered first of all as Protestantism's protomartyr under Mary I. He was burnt at Smithfield on 4 February 1555. His name has been honored for four centuries since that date. He is remembered, too, as Thomas Matthew, for completing and editing an English translation of the Bible, authoring a general commentary on the Bible, and compiling an English concordance of the Bible.

A few additional details of his life may be recalled. John Rogers was born in Deritend, Birmingham, in the parish of Aston. Although the place of his birth has been fixed with a considerable degree of certainty, the date has not. The best that the biographers can come up with is "circa 1500." The date seems early; 1505 would fit known facts of his life better. Nothing can be said either about Rogers' early schooling or the influences that led him into scholarly pursuits and caused him to take holy orders. He attended Pembroke Hall of the University of Cambridge, and in 1525-1526, according to the Grace Book, he received his Bachelor of Arts degree. Thomas Cranmer proceeded for the doctorate that year. Rogers' Cambridge years are shrouded in obscurity. Perhaps, in 1526, he went to Oxford as a junior canon of Wolsey's foundation, now Christ Church, and entered holy orders at that time. If so, it is not known how long he remained in Oxford. A slight, but very slight, argument for the plausibility of an Oxford stay is the fact that in 1560 Daniel Rogers, son of John Rogers, received an A.B. and a M.A. from

(In a few instances throughout, modern equivalents have been substituted for the more archaic spelling and orthography.—Ed.)
Neither John Foxe nor John Bale report that John Rogers went to Oxford.

There are questions that cannot be answered about John Rogers' life in the 1520s. Was he associated with the "Germans" who met to read Luther, Melanchthon, and other reformers in the White Horse Inn in Cambridge? How intimately did he know Barnes, Bilney, Coverdale, Latimer, Cranmer? What were his connections with Thomas Garret? Not until 1532 do details about his life assume any degree of certainty. In December of that year John Rogers became Rector of the Church of the Holy Trinity, St. Trinity the Less, in London. Within less than two years he was in Antwerp, chaplain for the Company of the Merchant Adventurers.

At this juncture, according to John Foxe, Rogers began "to recognize the purer brightness of the Gospel light." This has generally not been disputed. It may be questioned, however. In 1534 came Henry VIII's final break with Rome. By 1534 the Merchant Adventurers had long since abandoned a concern for strict adherence to Romanism; for perhaps a decade already they had been engaged in an illicit trade in Protestant books. With good business acumen they would appoint a "safe" chaplain, not a radical Gospeller, who would run afoul of the authorities, yet one who favored the New Learning. Rogers, it seems, was known to Tyndale and to Coverdale. In spite of Foxe's testimony it is not likely that Tyndale played Bilney to Rogers' Latimer. Rogers himself ascribed his repudiation of Rome to Stephen Gardiner and the clergy who made Henry VIII supreme head of the church in England. He told the Privy Council (22 January 1555):

"Ye yourselves all be they that broughte me to the knowledge of the pretended primacie of the b. of R., when I was a young man, xx. yeares agoe, & wyll ye now, without collation, have me to say I do the contrarye? I cannot be so persuaded.

The passage, of course, does not speak of a conversion to Protestantism, merely of a renunciation of the primacy of the Pope. In 1536 that Rogers began his work as the editor or proof-reader, perhaps better "house editor," of Thomas Matthew's Bible.

In 1538 John Rogers went to Wittenberg with his bride Adriana de Weyden, an Antwerp girl. She was "more richly endowed with virtue and soberness of life, than with worldly treasure," says Foxe. How he provided for himself and his wife after leaving Antwerp is not evident. The statement that he was pastor in Wittenberg may mean perhaps that he assisted Johann Bugenhagen (Pommeranus) in his pastoral duties sometime between 1538 and 1543. He was active in some ecclesiastical offices in or near Wittenberg during those years. On 25 November 1540 he matriculated in the University of Wittenberg; John Maccabaeus of Scotland matriculated with him on the same day. Rogers very likely attended some of Melanchthon's lectures as well as some of Martin Luther's.
18 September 1543 Melanchthon recommended him to John Schneck, pastor of the church in Heide, Ditmarsch. Melanchthon wrote:

Since we truly wish to show a concern in this for your church by our advice, we have exhorted Master John Anglus to go to you. This Master John Anglus is an erudite man, and perceives correctly the doctrine of Christ's church, and is not infected with wrong opinions. And we know that he is gifted with great natural ability, which he adorns with the most upright character; and since he will be most zealous of the public peace, he will cherish harmony [concordia] with his colleagues. Because of these distinguished virtues, we hope that he will serve the Church of God advantageously, wherever he is called. He has been invited at this very time to the governing of a church in this neighborhood. But for the sake of tranquility he chooses to go to you. At the beginning allow for a faulty pronunciation, which he, nevertheless, will correct according to the usage of our people. By my and N.'s [Luther's?] council he was persuaded to accept this course. And we have exhorted him with good and earnest consideration to this course for the sake of his usefulness to your church. He is an Englishman, but he has lived for a long time among the Germans, and has shown integrity and faithfulness and constancy in every office, that he adorned, so that all good men love and respect him. Therefore we pray you most earnestly for the sake of Christ, the Son of God, that you will receive this stranger lovingly and commend him to your citizens, that they may entrust to him an ecclesiastical office. It is of the greatest good in the church that colleagues live in harmony. Since this John knows this well, and since by nature he himself loves peace, he will study earnestly with you to uphold the common tranquility. It is of maximum worth to you therefore that you desire such a colleague.

The letter is flattering, but sincere. It was not an effort to get Rogers away from Wittenberg, but a move to put a partisan of the "peace party" into a district that had experienced religious strife. Meldorf was in the archdiocese of Bremen, which embraced Luther's cause in 1525; it remained Lutheran. Elements of religious instability persisted during the period between 1525 and 1560 and there are evidences of discontent and disharmony in the area. Rogers' predecessor in Meldorf, Nicolaus Boie, published a book, possibly only of a sermon's length, against ceremonies and ritualistic practices in the church. He could have, in his own words, adopted Christ's advice, shaken the dust from his feet and departed, but he'd remained there until his death. Perhaps Anabaptists' teachings had invaded the territory; an exhortation to parents to have their children baptized testifies that infant baptism was being neglected in Ditmarsch. During Rogers' incumbency in Meldorf forty clergymen
of Ditmarsch protested to the civic officials about their administration of justice: they wanted the banns to be read in the churches on three consecutive Sundays and baptism to be administered in the churches, not in the homes.\textsuperscript{32}

The unrest there is illustrated also by a letter which Rogers wrote in 1547 (the only letter by him still extant)!

Most Gracious Sir Master, I pray that by this messenger you will send me the worthy writing or article of Master Nicolas of pious memory Concerning the Handling Of Guiltless Murder (De moderamine inculpatae tutelae). I shall return it to you again by the next Sabbath. For they want to hear this article from us. They also want to determine in the future (as soon as it is possible in these things), about so-called guiltless murder. And because they do not understand this on account of ignorance of the law or they cannot determine it, they have committed it to the Superintendent or the jurisdiction of the councilmen [primarum]. Certainly, they do not wish one, who tried to defend himself against harm by force, to suffer the same punishment as one who willingly murdered. For they wish this to be punished capitally; for the other, either money is to be paid or all punishment is to be set aside outright, as it will seem proper by the foremost or of the whole province. With these few words farewell and added to them the best wishes. In these most perilous times and these dregs of the world or ragings of the Devil may God excite in all of us through His Spirit frequent and ardent prayers, sighings and lamentations for the Church. Amen.\textsuperscript{33}

The letter breathes Rogers’ pastoral concern for the problems of his people. Foxe points out that Rogers was a superintendent in Meldorf;\textsuperscript{34} this may mean that he was bishop there; with him were associated two sacellani, chaplains.\textsuperscript{33} Foxe also affirms that here “he, with great danger to his life, did very much good.”\textsuperscript{16} The exaggeration in the “great danger” is evident on a moment’s reflection—it is one of Foxe’s literary flights of fancy. That Rogers did much good there need not be doubted. About eighty-five years later a local chronicler recorded that with sobs and tears the people of Meldorf bewailed Rogers’ leave-taking.\textsuperscript{37}

Rogers’ initial recommendation came from Melanchthon because the Wittenberg professor sensed in him a kindred spirit. He was learned; his linguistic abilities can be deduced from his activities. He knew English and Latin, of course; he was at home with the Biblical languages, Hebrew and Greek; he could preach in Low German and converse in Flemish, and he understood the Middle Saxon of Luther’s Bible. Melanchthon valued such men. Rogers, Melanchthon testified, was of sound doctrine. Besides that, Rogers had the traits of a good “team man,” hard-working, agreeable, conscientious, peace-loving. Rogers, to generalize from the one extant letter he wrote,
was a man of prayer and a man deeply concerned about the welfare of the Church. On all of these respects he was not unlike Melanchthon.

Already by 1538 Melanchthon had become the great advocate of *concordia et tranquillitatis ecclesiae*. The dedicatory epistle of the 1535 *Loci*, made to Henry VIII, asks the king to promote peace in the church, her welfare, sound doctrine, the correction of abuses, and the true worship of God. To Thomas Cranmer he wrote on 30 March 1539: "We pray God at the same time, that He both kindle the light of the Gospel in the hearts of many and vouchsafe peace to the Church. The enemies of the Gospel threaten us no less fiercely than in Britain. But we do not doubt that the church of the godly is in God's care." And to Nicholas Heath, the then archdeacon of Canterbury, he wrote on the following day: "If Germany is quiet, I am optimistic both about studies and about the points of dispute among the churches. Pious and learned men shrink back from the wickedness and barbarity of the adversaries. May God defend and govern us."

Imbued with this man's spirit Rogers could write: "In these most perilous times and these dregs of the world, or ragings of the Devil may God incite in all of us through His Spirit frequent and ardent prayers, sighings and lamentations for the Church." Rogers wrote this on 8 June 1547; six weeks before, on 24 April 1547, the Emperor had crushed the Lutheran forces in the battle of Mühlberg. No wonder that the days were very evil to John Rogers, who seems to have had a strong eschatological orientation, to judge also from the chronicler's remark that this "blessed and zealous man ... preached with particular piety about the end of the world and the nearness of Judgement Day." The political circumstances were as inauspicious for Protestantism in Germany as they were auspicious in England. Edward VI (1547-1553) was now King of England: both the triumph of the Emperor and the accession of Edward brought on John Rogers' resignation as pastor in Meldorf, Ditmarsch, and his return to England.

Rogers returned to London in 1548, very probably before the 1st of August. During the remaining six and a half years of his life he was Vicar of St. Sepulchre's and Rector of St. Margaret Moysie before becoming Prebend of St. Paul's and Rector of Chigwell. An act of Parliament naturalized his wife and eight children. The three youngest of his eleven children were born in England. He was appointed Divinity Lecturer at St. Paul's, as an indication of the regard in which his scholarship was held. On the death of Edward VI he was confined to his house, imprisoned, tried, and executed. His literary activities, resumed when he returned to London in 1548, continued until the time of his death.

Those literary activities consisted largely in translating Melanchthon; this is the main reason for calling him "Melanchthon's English friend." Of his translations only one is extant, already noted, *A waying and considering of the Interim*. He translated
some of Melanchthon’s sermons, a commentary on Daniel written by Melanchthon, Carion’s *Chronicle* which Melanchthon had edited, and the *Locos communes*. George Jove also wrote a commentary on Daniel for which he also used Melanchthon. Since Rogers’ translation of Melanchthon is not extant some doubt has been expressed about the unnamed author of a Commentary of Daniel in one of John Foxe’s list. Walter Lynne also translated the *Chronicle*; it is very probable that Rogers’ translation was independent of Lynne’s. Which sermons Rogers translated in the *Homelias*, we do not know; his choice might throw an interesting sidelight on his theology. The translation of the *Locos Communes* has vanished completely. John Bale, whose work was printed only two years after Rogers’ death, is the only authority for their existence. Unhappily Chester, Rogers’ best biographer, seems to have been ignorant of these works; at least, he ignored them altogether. They form an arresting selection of the Wittenberger’s contribution to theology: sermonic materials (practical theology); a doctrinal treatise (systematic theology); a chronicle (historical theology); a commentary (exegetical theology). Rogers’ translations were lost to posterity because of Mary’s efforts to repress Protestant writings and likely because they were printed in a limited edition, if, indeed, they were printed. Nonetheless, Rogers wanted to make Melanchthon’s writings available in English.

To that end he stimulated others to translate Melanchthon. That statement is based on circumstantial evidence only, but the evidence seems strong enough to warrant making it. He most probably encouraged Bradford in this direction. Rogers and Bradford were close associates; both held prebencies at St. Paul’s. Bradford’s translation of Melanchthon on prayer was printed by John Wight perhaps for Richard Jugge as *A Godlye treatys of Prayer*. This is locus XIX, “De invocatione Dei, sev de precatione,” of Melanchthon’s 1550 *Loci Pracippvi Theologici*. There is no evidence, except the propinquity of Roger and Bradford, to warrant the statement that Roger stimulated Bradford’s interest in Melanchthon.

Bradford was a faithful translator. He rendered Melanchthon’s characteristic emphasis on the church: “But suerlye it is moost profitable, that the church should be diligently & amplye instructed, how she ought to pray, & how that prayer is the propre worke of the church alone.” A careful page by page check of Bradford’s rendition verifies his faithfulness as a translator. The marginal notes are his own, but they serve only to emphasize Melanchthon’s presentation. Melanchthon stressed prayer for and in the Church, prayer for the remission of sins and all spiritual gifts as well as prayer for temporal goods. He gave a brief exposition of the Lord’s Prayer, which Bradford rendered accurately and pleasingly in English. Melanchthon’s wholly Christ-centered prayer, moving in its strength, is rendered by Bradford: “Sanctify and governe me with thy holy spirite, preserve and rule thy church and the common
weales, whyche give harbordeth to thy people, helpe the studies of such as learne the doctrine of thy churche, & other honest artes. &c.\textsuperscript{56}

Bradford also translated other parts of Melanchthon's \textit{Loci}, hoping to complete the work, "\textit{vf}," he said, "I shall perceave ane commoditie by thyss to come to the Churche of Christe," doubting not the worth of the \textit{Loci} but his abilities as a translator.\textsuperscript{37} Bale recorded that Bradford translated the \textit{Locos communes Melanchthonis}.\textsuperscript{58} Perhaps he was referring to Bradford's incomplete manuscript; perhaps the reference is to the part taken from the \textit{Loci} on prayer. That both Bradford and Rogers were translating Melanchthon's \textit{Loci} argues for competition, collaboration, or ignorance of what the other was doing. The latter can be ruled out by Bradford's printed statement and their close association. On the same score competition does not seem likely. To say that Bradford and Rogers were collaborating is a good conjecture but saying more than the evidence warrants.

The evidence is also tenuous for linking Nicolas Lesse with Rogers. Of Lesse little is known.\textsuperscript{59} He was John Bale's friend.\textsuperscript{60} Bale labelled him "a citizen and merchant of London, a Greek and Latin scholar."\textsuperscript{61} Lesse called himself Lady Anne, Duchess Somerset's "most fayethfull and daylye Oratoure."\textsuperscript{62} To Edward Seymour, Duke of Somerset, the Lord Proctor, he dedicated a work by Melanchthon in translation and his one original work, \textit{The iustification of man by faith only: made and written by Phyllyp Melanclhton and Translated out of the Latyn in to this oure mother touge} by Nicholas Lesse of London. \textit{An apologie or Defence of the worde of God, declaringe what a necessary thynge it is, to be in all mennes handes, the want wher of is the only cause of al vngodlines committed thoro11.e the whole earthe}, made by the sayde Nicholas Lesse.\textsuperscript{63}

Melanchthon's work is taken from the \textit{Loci},\textsuperscript{64} dealing with Law and Gospel, sin, justification, grace, faith, and good works.\textsuperscript{55} It is a prime document of the Lutheran Reformation, which does not seem to have become very popular. That Lesse busied himself with \textit{sola gratia} and \textit{sola fide} and wrote a treatise on \textit{sola Scriptura} is an indication of his Wittenberg \textit{Tendenz}.

He also translated a work on man's will, which set forth Melanchthon's doctrine as he expounded it in the 1521 \textit{Loci}, teaching that in spiritual matters man's will was bound. It was written by Francis Lambert of Avignon, late professor at Philip of Hesse's University of Marburg.\textsuperscript{65} Lambert's dependence on Melanchthon is readily seen.\textsuperscript{67} Lesse did not indicate whether he was aware of this or not. Nevertheless, Lesse was one of the men actively engaged in the late 1540s in translating Continental reformers, of whom Melanchthon was one of the most highly regarded. This favorable image, it can be postulated, was due in part to John Rogers.

One can almost identify an informal group, which never seem to have had meetings of any kind as a group, or any kind of formal structure, which promoted the translation and dissemination of the
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writings of German reformers. Rogers, it seems, was near the center of this group. Bradford, Lesse, Lynne, Somerset, perhaps Cranmer, John Day, Jugge, and others were involved. The activities might have been inspired by Somerset. The printers fostered these activities and John Rogers was rather closely associated with the printers.

However, Rogers was not responsible for the most popular of Melanchthon's tracts in English. It did not come from the group postulated, although Richard Jugge printed three editions after the first edition printed perhaps in Zurich. It dealt with the reception of the Eucharist sub utraque by the laity.

If it is too hazardous to suppose that a group existed in the late 1540s to propagandize England with anti-Roman religious writings, it is not too much to say that a group of Protestant divines became a closely knit group in prison during 1554. John Rogers, John Bradford, John Ferrar, and Rowland Taylor were in that group and had been more or less closely associated in pre-Marian days. John Philpot, Laurence Sanders, and John Hooper were part of that company in prison. Yet only Rogers and Bradford were intimates. In prison, knowing that they all were doomed to die for the faith, they were drawn together by these cords. About the middle of December 1554 these seven friends directed a petition to Queen Mary and the Parliament, asking for an opportunity to be heard, saying "... That your said subiectes are true and faithful christians, and neither heretikes, neyther teachers of heresic, nor cut of from the true catholike vniversall church of Christ ..." This was the protest of the Augsburg Confession, and the general contention of those who left the church of Rome. During his trial Rogers contended most earnestly that he was a member of Christ's church.

These seven men, with four others (two of these four by initials only), signed a "Declaration" on 8 May 1554, in which they set forth eight articles of faith: the authority of the Scriptures; the church; the ancient symbols; justification by faith only; church services in the vernacular; no invocation of saints; no purgatory; two Sacraments only, with a denial of the Roman doctrines and practices of the Eucharist. They offered to "proue out of the infallible veritie, even the very word of God, and by the testimonie of the good and moste aunciente fathers in Christe his churche, this our faythe and every peece thereof." They say: "... we confess and beleve the catholyke churche (whiche is the spouse of Christe,)

By 1554 this was a general contention among Protestants and the phrases had been well learned. John Bradford is generally regarded as the author of the "Declaration"; Rogers (it may safely be conjectured) collaborated with him. They had no books with them in prison and they could not have copied Melanchthon. But their words echo Melanchthon's 1539 "De ecclesia et de autoritate verbi Dei," which was known in England. In it Melanchthon
wrote (this one quotation is enough by way of illustration without going to the Confessio Augustana or the Apologia or the Loci):

However, after I have defined what the true Church is, and it is agreed that we faithfully retain and preserve the doctrine of the catholic Church of Christ, transmitted in the Prophetic and Apostolic Scriptures and in the Symbols, it is evident that we truly agree with the catholic Church of Christ.\(^7\)

The English reformer-prisoners refer to the Council of Nicaea, misdating it for 324 as did Melanchthon, and to the Councils of Constantinople, Ephesus, Chalcedon, and Toledo. They give wrong dates and so did Melanchthon, but they do not agree in their errors.\(^7\)

The “Declaration” used a characteristically Melanchthonian definition for justification. Justification, Bradford, Rogers, and their fellows say, “commeth onelye from Goddes mercye through Christ . . . by faith only, which fyth is not an opinion, but a certain persuasion wrought by the holy ghost in the mynde and heart of man, . . .” This justification is to be distinguished from sanctification or “an inherent righteousnesse”; it is the “forgyuenesse of synnes and Christes justice imputed to us . . .”\(^8\) In the 1551 Confessio Saxonia one article is headed “De remissione peccatorum et de justificatione.”\(^9\) By faith, Melanchthon said, “remission of sins, reconciliation, and imputation of righteousness are given because of that merit of Christ.”\(^10\) Faith to Melanchthon is fiducia, trust, confidence, reliance awakened by the Holy Spirit; the English prisoners called it “a certayne [sure, confident] persuasion wrought by the holy ghost.”

Melanchthon used the phrase *nova obedientia*\(^11\) and does not speak of “inherent righteousnesse,” which Bradford, Rogers, and the others coupled with “regeneration.” They did not differ in this doctrine. Both Melanchthon and the Englishmen discount man’s free will.\(^12\) If Bradford and Rogers had not worked so closely with Melanchthon’s writings, these parallels would not adequately support a contention of a dependency on Melanchthon. However, since Melanchthon seems to have been Rogers’ and, to a somewhat more limited degree, Bradford’s theological mainstay,\(^13\) the contention must be given serious consideration.

The “Declaration” of the seven martyrs did not agree wholly with Melanchthon’s *De coena Domini*. They agreed that the laity should receive the Lord’s Supper under both kinds; they opposed transubstantiation, and the doctrine that the mass is a propitiatory sacrifice. They were not dependent on Melanchthon or Melanchthon alone, perhaps not chiefly on Melanchthon for these doctrines. It is true, as stated above, that Melanchthon’s polemic on *sub utraque* was widely circulated in England.\(^14\) The English reformers in prison did not agree on the manner of Christ’s presence in the Sacrament. They are silent on this point. They emphasized the use of the
Sacrament; this emphasis is Melanchthonian to the core. Bradford, Rogers, and the others declared:

Eightly, we confesse and beleue the Sacramentes of Christe, which by Baptisme and the Lordes Supper, that they ought to be minystered according to the institution of Christ, concerning the substantial partes of them. And that they be no longer Sacramentes, then they be had in use, and vsed to the ende for the which they wer instituted.

Melanchthon in the Aunswere to the Interim, which Rogers translated, wrote:

There shall no Gods seruyce be ordened or done in the Church that is not ordeyned and commaunded in the worde of God. And the vse of the Sacramente is so ordeyned and not otherwyse, that the dealyng oute and the vse by kepte and done, as the Sonne of God sayeth. Take it and eate it. &c. And drynke all thereof. &c. And, doe this in my remembraunce. And it was also thus holden many hundreth yeares in the fyrste churche.

Therefore is this fyrste vse a greying with the worde of Chryste, surely the ryght and true vse, and shalbe mayntened vpholclen and kepte, and there shall no other workes be set lip therein, which are not commaunded.

The proper use of the Lord's Supper in the midst of the congregation was emphasized by Melanchthon also in the 1551 Confessio Saxonia. His emphasis on the right use of the Sacrament, however, is not separated from his belief in the Real Presence of the Body and Blood of Christ in the Sacrament. Melanchthon urged the frequent use of the Sacrament. In it the remission of sins is given to the believers, he said.

Did Melanchthon influence Rogers on the Lord's Supper? John Rogers was examined, he tells us, what he

meante concerninge the sacramente? . . . whether I beleved in the sacramente to be the very body & blood of our saviour christe, that was borne of the virgin maryl & hanged on the crosse, really, substantially, etc.? I answered [he writes] that I had ofte tyme tolde hym that it was a matter in which I was no medler, and therefore suspected of my brethren to be of a contrary opinion: but seynge the falsehod of their doctrine in all other poyntes, & the defence therof only by force & crueltie, thoughte their doctrine in this matter to be as false as the reste; for christe could not be corpallye there, & I could not otherwise understand really & substantially to signifie them corpallye, & so could not christe be there & in heaven also.

Rogers evidently was loathe to state his position. He was suspected by his brethren because he held "contrary opinions." These
may have held the Lutheran doctrine of the Real Presence, sometimes erroneously labelled "consubstantiation." At any rate, he was not a "medler," in this matter. "I have many grave reasons why I have not meddled (admixcuerim me) in such a hateful strife," Melanchthon told Oecolampadius in 1529. "I am very grieved that a disagreement has arisen about that thing, which was instituted by Christ for a gluing-together love." Rogers very probably shared these sentiments. Now in prison the reformers discussed their views and Rogers was suspect by his brethren. When forced to give an answer to Stephen Gardiner and the Council he denied the doctrine of transubstantiation, completely and wholly in terms his fellow prisoners would have endorsed to show his agreement with them. Melanchthon had praised Rogers because he sought harmony with his colleagues. Rogers gave weight to the argument that he had testified against transubstantiation, rather than defended another position.

"I was sayd to have denied the sacramente," he wrote. But he insisted that he said "that your doctrine of the sacramente is false." Yet he was condemned, in the words of the sentence: "Item quod in Sacramento altaris non est realiter et substantialiter naturale corpus et naturalis sanguis Christi."

The second heresy for which Rogers was condemned was his doctrine of the Church: "Quod Ecclesia Romana Catholica, est Ecclesia Antichristi." While it is true that he repeatedly referred to the Church of Rome as anti-Christian, it is also true that he had a very positive and dynamic doctrine of the Church. He refused to acknowledge the bishop of Rome, because he maintained that Christ is the Head of the Church. The King is not head of the Church in spiritual things, "as the forgiveness of sins, the gift of the Holy Spirit, and the supreme authority of the Word of God. These phrases are Melanchthonian. Melanchthon's accents rang out still more clearly when Rogers contended that he is a member of the one, holy, catholic Church, that he never dissented nor will dissent from the Church catholic, that he was never out of the true Church, but always taught the true and catholic doctrine.

Rogers appealed to the authority of the Word of God and "the doctrine of the old & pure catholic church 400 yeares after christe." He affirmed that "Credo Ecclesiam sanctam catholicam" referred to the universal church, "the consente of all true teachinge churches of all tymes & of all ages." He firmly insisted, to use his own words, "that I had bene able, by godes grace, to prove that all the doctrine that ever I had taughte was true & catholic, & that by the scriptures & the authoritie of the fathers that lyved 400 yeares after the death of Christe, etc." He repeated his demand that he be refuted "bringinge the worde of god, & the consente of the old catholic church of the moste pure tyme. that is 400 yeares after christe."
Enough has been said above,\textsuperscript{103} to underline the orientation that Melanchthon gave to this doctrine of the authority of the Church and the authority of the Word. Melanchthon and Rogers were not schismatic. In the \textit{Aunswere} Melanchthon wrote (in Rogers' translation): “It is truthe that the churche is a congregacion or company gathered together of the right beleuing, and that no man shal deuide and disseuer him selfe from the Churche.”\textsuperscript{108}

For these two doctrines Rogers died—the denial of transubstantiation and his contentions about the Church. Rogers in his convictions was valiant and steadfast and gave an example to those who would suffer after him. He admired Melanchthon for his loyalty and steadfastness. Melanchthon is usually not cast in a heroic mold or thought of as being made of the stuff from which martyrs come.\textsuperscript{110} Yet in 1548 John Rogers hailed his friend as a witness of the truth and an example for the faithful.

He translated \textit{The aunswere of Phil. Melanchthon to the Interim} for the comfortyng of many godly and christen herentes, which have been not alytell dismayed and discouraged thorow suche lyes [that Melanchthon denied the truth]. And verely not without a cause, for his denying would do more harme to the trueth in these last and most perelouse tymes, than any tongue or penne can express. And God of his goodnesse, bountefull mercye and great power, graunt that the neuer chaunce.\textsuperscript{111}

Rogers’ words presaged and justified his own and his companions’ attitude and actions in those dark days of February 1555 when one by one at Smithfield, Coventry, Gloucester, they were led to the stake.\textsuperscript{112}

Before Rogers went to the stake a petition was drawn up on his behalf. Perhaps the plea that Rogers be returned to Ditmarsch never reached Mary. It came from the “Forty-eight” to the city fathers of Hamburg, asking that they intercede for Rogers. These officials of the Ditmarsch district were anxious that Rogers serve again as pastor in that region.\textsuperscript{113} Perhaps the Hamburg Council did not write to Mary.\textsuperscript{111} Rogers went to his death.

Soon after John Rogers suffered martyrdom his oldest son, the seventeen-year-old Daniel (1538?-1591) returned to Wittenberg to study under Philip Melanchthon, his father’s friend.\textsuperscript{115} In 1584 he gratefully acknowledged the help he received from Stephen Degner, a Doctor of the Civil Law, Counsellor to the old Baron of Anholt. “which Doctor,” Daniel wrote, “twenty-seven years past [1557], had been my school-fellow under Melanchthon, at Wittenberg.”\textsuperscript{116}

Daniel Rogers commemorated both his father and Philip Melanchthon, among the two hundred seventy plus short poems he wrote. Of the fifty-two epigrams dedicated to Francis Russell, Earl of Bedford, there is an “Epitaph on Philip Melanchthon.”\textsuperscript{117} In Book 2 of his Epigrams, sixty-seven in number, there is one “On a
portrait of Melanchthon by Durer.” Others include: “Tumulus of his brother Samuel Rogers”; “To his father John Rogers”; “Cenotaph Rogers”; “Tumulus of Hester Rogers”; “Hester Rogers”; “Tumulus of his brother Samuel Rogers”; “To his father John Rogers”; “Cenotaph of John Rogers the Martyr (2)”.” Then in Book 3, in which there are one hundred fifty-three poetic pieces, Daniel wrote “On the death of John Rogers” again. Also among the tumuli is one “The Martyr’s crown of Thomas Cranmer” and one “Of Susanna Rogers.” Among the thirty-four “Sylvae” (also in Book 3) is a “Tumulus of P. Melanchthon.” Scattered throughout these three books are seven epigrams or tumuli to John Jewel. There are none about Martin Luther or John Calvin or Heinrich Bullinger. One poem, dedicated to the memory of John Jewel, in nineteen lines named Calvin, Melanchthon, Luther, Bucer, Zwingli, Bullinger, A Lasco, Hus, Hemming, and Knox. “The German lands call out and extol Melanchthon,” Daniel wrote.

These data do not say a great deal about a friendship between Philip Melanchthon and Daniel Rogers. However, there was more in these poems, one may suppose, than the recollections about a university lecturer. They were tributes, among those to many others, to Melanchthon, John Rogers, his father’s, German friend.

APPENDIX I

Honorable, Most Wise, and Esteemed Lord Mayor and Councilmen of the City of Hamburg, Our Especially Dear and Good Friends.

Our friendly greetings with the wish of all good for you. Honorable, most wise, and esteemed lords, our especially dear and good friends.

We would not hide from you esteemed, good, most wise men that about four years ago one of the Superintendents of our land, named Master Roger (Rogerus), went from here to England for weighty reasons, there to preach the divine, only-saving Word to the late young King.

Now we have learned that after the death of the said young lord the present Queen of England again embraced Popery and afterwards arrested and imprisoned many learned, brave men (may God be beseeched). Among them is also the afore-mentioned Master John Roger, about whom we have received a trustworthy report from faithful friends.

Since, then, the said Master John Roger served us nobly in his vocation and otherwise headed the parish in Meldorf with all modesty, and without learned men like him we cannot be a blessing in the highest degree nor to many people, this well-esteemed man ought to be freed from prison and be permitted to go his way freely that he might again come into this place for the consolation and comfort of many harassed consciences.

Therefore we pray and beseech you most kindly that you Honorable Gentlemen would address the present Queen of England
through the proper ways and means to the intent that the aforenamed Master John Roger be freed from imprisonment without conditions and be permitted to go his way. Thereby he may be granted his life and preserved, that he might again reside in this place and that he might again teach and preach the Christ whom he professes, whom he has hitherto served so faithfully.

In this you Honorable Gentlemen will be permitting us to find preachers who are particularly well-pleasing to us for holding fast to the Word of God.

Then God Almighty to whom we commend you Honorable Gentlemen for continued blessing will not let you be without reward. We on our part will also be most friendly disposed to you Honorable Gentlemen.

Dated, Heide, under our seal, Saturday before the Day of Vitus and Modestus, Martyrs, [15 June] Anno etc. '54.

Respectfully,

The Overseeers
of the Land of
Ditmarsh
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