THE SPRINGFIELDER

Vol. XXXIII	Spring, 1969	No. 1
THE SPRINGFIELDER is publicordia Theological Seminary, Church—Missouri Synod.	lished quarterly by the f Springfield, Illinois, of	aculty of Con- the Lutheran
EDITORIAL COMMITTEE ERICH H. HEINTZEN, E RAYMOND F. SURBURG, DAVID P. SCAER, Associ MARK J. STEEGE, Associ PRESIDENT J. A. O. PRE	ditor Book Review Editor ate Editor viate Editor	
Contents		Page
EDITORIAL Toward Denver		
RESPONSES TO "WHAT C GRATIA' IN THE INVOLVES" RICHARD J. SCHULTZ. ERICH H. HEINTZEN	LUTHERAN CONFE	
RESPONSES TO "THE LU" SOLA SCRIPTUE EUGENE F. KLUG FRED KRAMER		
	N CONFESSIONS"	
•		
BOOK REVIEWS		42
BOOKS RECEIVED		68
INDEX TO VOLUME 32 (1968-69)	70
Indexed in INDEX TO RELIGIOUS American Theological Library A Chicago, Illinois.		
Clergy changes of address reporte Missouri, will also cover mailing of address should be sent to the I cordia Theological Seminary, Spr	change of The Springfielde Business Manager of The Sp	r. Other changes

Address communications to the Editor, Erich H. Heintzen, Concordia Theo-

logical Seminary, Springfield, Illinois 62702.

Editorial

Toward Denver

TN THIS ISSUE the Springfield faculty is presenting a series of studies on the subject that is uppermost in the minds of all of us as we approach the Denver Convention, namely, the question of fellowship with the American Lutheran Church. Our faculty has been studying the essays prepared by the commissioners and are herewith presenting a series of reactions which had been presented to our faculty. They were received with such interest that we felt they deserved a wider audience. You will notice that there is a considerable range of opinion among the faculty from a position favoring fellowship to a position disposed to selective followship to a position calling for declining fellowship on the part of our church. are presenting these essays in order to give the church an opportunity to look at all sides of the question. We feel that in so doing we are serving the church which is going to have to make this monumental decision. It has been notable in most of the papers of the church, official and unofficial, that usually there has been a party line and that the recommendations have been either entirely pro or entirely con. We feel that in presenting a spectrum of opinions we are giving our members an opportunity to evaluate the various positions which are offered.

It is my personal opinion that the Synod has about five possible courses of action. The option chosen will reflect the thinking of the delegates. We could vote for fellowship not only with the ALC but also with the LCA, and go on to the LWF and the World Council of Churches. There is nothing to prevent the church from doing these things if it so chooses. The second option is that we would stop at fellowship with the ALC and delay action on the other matters for further study. A third option is selective fellowship in which part of the church would practice pulpit and altar fellowship with part of the American Lutheran Church. A fourth option is to delay action until a later convention, and the final option

is that the Synod could decline fellowship.

One of these things will take place. The delegates at Denver face a tremendous responsibility. We come out of a tradition which has believed in the clarity of Scripture and thus the possibility of pure doctrine. We thus face the question of whether or not the doctrinal position of the ALC (in connection with which we also must consider the fellowship of the ALC with the LCA) is sufficiently clear, Biblical and Confessional for us to establish pulpit and altar fellowship. This should be a time for prayer, for earnest study, and for application of the finest minds in our church to a matter which is of utmost importance, namely, our theological heritage.

May God keep us steadfast in His Word and in faith.